1.0 Across the council there are a number of risk registers which prioritise risks consistently by assigning risk scores 1-5 to the likelihood (denoted by 'L') of the risk occurring, and the potential impact (denoted by 'l') if it should occur. These L and I scores are multiplied; the higher the result of L x I, the greater the risk e.g. L4xI4 which denotes a Likelihood score of 4 (Likely) x Impact score of 4 (Major).

		IMPACT				
		Insignificant (1)	Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Catastrophic (5)
	Almost Certain (5)	0	0	0	0	0
LIKELIHOOD	Likely (4)	o	0	0	1	0
	Possible (3)	0	0	0	1	1
	Unlikely (2)	0	0	0	0	1
	Almost Impossible (1)	0	0	0	0	0

- 2.0 A colour coded system, similar to the traffic light system, is used to distinguish risks that require intervention. Red risks are the highest, followed by Amber risks and then Yellow, and then Green.
- 3.0 The Strategic Risk Register (SRR) mostly include Red and Amber risks. Each strategic risk has a unique identifying number and is prefixed by 'SR' representing that it is a strategic risk.
- 4.0 Each risk is scored twice with an Initial ('Now') level of risk and a Revised (Future) risk score:
 - a) Initial Risk Score reflects the Existing Controls under the 'Three Lines of Defence' methodology which is good practice and helps to establish the First Line – Management Controls; Second Line – Corporate Oversight; and Third Line – Independent Assurance and the currency and value of each control in managing the risk. Therefore the Initial Risk Score represents the 'as is'/ 'now' position for the risk, taking account of existing controls.
 - b) The Revised Risk Score focuses on the application of time and expenditure to future reduce the likelihood or impact of each risk and is based on the assumption that any future Risk Actions, as detailed in risk registers, will have been delivered to timescale and will have the desired impact.
 - c) Where initial and revised scores are the same the Risk Owners are asked to consider the 4Ts of Risk Treatments (Treat/Tolerate/Terminate/Transfer) and change the scoring or remove all future risk actions/move them to existing control. This is on the understanding that the risk action should either reduce the likelihood and/or reduce the impact if none of this is true, there will not be any reason to undertake the action.

Suggested questions for Members to ask Risk Owners and officers on Strategic Risks

The Audit & Standards Committee has a role to monitor and form an opinion on the effectiveness of risk management and internal control. As part of discharging this role the Committee focuses on at least two Strategic Risks at each of their meetings.

The Committee invite the Risk Owners of Strategic Risks to attend Committee and answer their questions based on a CAMMS Risk report appended to each report. In the CAMMS Risk report, the Risk Owner:

- Describes the risks, the cause and potential consequences, the officers involved and provides an **Initial Risk Score** which takes account of the existing controls in place to mitigate the risk.
- 2. Existing Controls are set out using the Three Lines of Defence model:
 - 1st line: management controls
 - 2nd line: corporate oversight
 - 3rd line: independent assurance

in order that Members can identify where the assurance comes from, and how frequently it is reviewed and in the case of the 3rd line if audits of inspections have happened, when did it happen, what the results were. Risk Owners ensure that existing controls continue to operate effectively.

3. (Future) Risk Actions then are detailed and allocated to individuals with percentage achieved against target dates, with commentary on the current position. This provides the **Revised Risk Score** which is based on the assumption that all the risks actions have been successfully delivered.

The Risk Owners of Strategic Risks will always be an Executive Leadership Team (ELT) officer, and they may bring other officers who are more closely connected to the mitigating work.

Three questions are suggested to be explored by the A&S Committee:

- 1. Is the Risk Description appropriately defined? Does the Committee understand the cause and potential consequences?
- 2. Is the Committee reassured that each (future) Risk Action either reduces the impact or likelihood of the risk? Are members reassured that risk actions are actually being delivered?
- 3. In respect of the Revised Risk Score does the Committee feel comfortable with Risk Owner's assessment? This represents the risk level that the organisation is prepared to accept.

How Members and officers can input on Strategic Risks (SRs)

The risk management process benefits from input by Council Members and by staff at all levels. The opportunities to do this are:

NA I	000		DMT (FLT
Members to	Officers to Line	Officers to their lead	DMT to ELT
ELT leads	Manager or Risk	Directorate Management	
E 0D:	Manager	Team (DMT)	T
Each SR is	The Behaviour	Risks may get discussed	The quarterly SR
discussed	Framework	as part of staff meeting,	review includes a
between the	expects all	PDPs/121s/ team and	summary of
regular	officers to	service meetings. Any	Directorate Risks
meetings	escalate risks	significant risks to be	reviewed at DMTs
with	and/or or	escalated through to	
Committee	suggest	their Head of	
Chairs	mitigations to	Service/Assistant	
	their line	Director to raise through	
	managers. If	the management chain	
	officers feel they	and discuss at quarterly	
	do not have	DMT risk reviews	
	appropriate	facilitated by the Risk	
	access to their	Management Lead.	
	line managers,	DMTs may request that	
	they may	the Risk Management	
	escalate risk to	Lead offers risk	
	the Risk	management support,	
	Management	e.g. to assist officers to	
	Lead who can	develop a robust risk	
	offer internal	register.	
	consultancy		
	support		
Members	Any Member	The ELT lead within a	The ELT lead (i.e.
are	risk suggestion	directorate will discuss	an Executive
responsible	should be	escalated risks with the	Director/Lead
for raising	responded to by	DMT at least on a	Officer) within a
risks that	the officer once	fortnightly basis and will	directorate will
they identify	the ELT	seek assistance as	discuss escalated
with their	discussion has	required. They have	risks with the ELT
contract	taken place.	access to ELT and	and determine the
officers,		determine the way	way forward i.e.
often the		forward in consultation	whether to add to
Head of		with the Risk	the Strategic Risk
Service,		Management Lead,	Register in
Assistant		managomom Loda,	consultation with
Director or			the Risk
Executive			Management Lead
Director			Management Lead
חוופטוטו			